2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

2223

24

25

BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINERS

In the Matter of:

Jon B. Grossman, LCSW-0754, LMFT-0182, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Licensed Marriage and Family Therapist, In the State of Arizona.

CASE NO. 2016-0131
CONSENT AGREEMENT

RESPONDENT

In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of the above captioned matter, consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizona State Board of Behavioral Health Examiners ("Board"), and pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32-3281(F) and 41-1092.07(F)(5), Jon B. Grossman ("Respondent") and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- Any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board concerning the allegations, and all related materials and exhibits may be retained in the Board's file pertaining to this matter.
- 2. Respondent has the right to a formal administrative hearing at which Respondent can present evidence and cross examine the State's witnesses. Respondent hereby irrevocably waives their right to such formal hearing concerning these allegations and irrevocably waives their right to any rehearing or judicial review relating to the allegations contained in this Consent Agreement.
 - 3. Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this

Consent Agreement.

- 4. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning it to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke their acceptance of this Consent Agreement or make any modifications to it. Any modification of this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 5. The findings contained in the Findings of Fact portion of this Consent Agreement are conclusive evidence of the facts stated herein and may be used for purposes of determining sanctions in any future disciplinary matter.
- 6. This Consent Agreement is subject to the Board's approval, and will be effective only when the Board accepts it. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value, nor shall it be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by any party hereto, except that Respondent agrees that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or of any records relating thereto.
- 7. Respondent understands that once the Board approves and signs this Consent Agreement, it is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and that it shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 8. Respondent further understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(n) and may result in disciplinary action pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3281.
- 9. Although Respondent does not agree that all the Findings of Fact set forth in this Consent Agreement are supported by the evidence, Respondent acknowledges that it is the Board's position that, if this matter proceeded to formal hearing, the Board could establish sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10. The Board therefore retains jurisdiction over Respondent and may initiate disciplinary action against Respondent if it determines that they have failed to comply with the terms of the Consent Agreement or of the practice act.

The Board issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent is the holder of License Nos. LCSW-0754 and LMFT-0182 for the practice of social work and marriage and family therapy in Arizona.
 - 2. Respondent is one of the owners of a behavioral health agency ("Agency").
- 3. In addition to being one of the owners, Respondent is the clinical director of Agency, whose duties include clinical supervision and program management.
- 4. Respondent acknowledges that by 05/16, one of his former employees ("Employee") was 4 months behind on submitting case notes.
 - 5. From 02/15- 02/16, Respondent was Employee's clinical supervisor.
- 6. When asked by Board staff about Employee's delinquent case notes, Respondent was unable to explain how Agency allowed Employee to become 4 months behind on submitting case notes.
- In review of Employee's personnel record, there were no write-ups or other documented warnings regarding Employee's missing case notes.
- As clinical director and one of the owners of Agency, whose duties include clinical supervision, Respondent is responsible for providing adequate clinical oversight, which

includes the review and monitoring of his supervisees' clinical documentation.

- 9. During the course of the Board's investigation, Board staff issued a subpoena for the clinical records of one of Employee's clients ("Client").
- 10. Based on the clinical records received, Client received behavioral health services from Agency for approximately 1 year on a weekly basis.
- 11. As a supplement to Client's clinical records, Respondent's wife, who is the administrative director and one of the owners of Agency, included a letter to the Board which indicated:
 - a. A claims search for Client produced only three claims that went through for payment.
 - b. There was no information that any other claims were billed, denied, or paid.
 - c. April November were either not billed or never processed or paid.
- 12. It appears highly concerning that Agency is unable to produce financial and billing records that correspond with the dates of services for their behavioral health client.
- 13. According to Employee, the following occurred during a 12/15 teleconference with Respondent:
 - Employee expressed concerns about any person changing the dates on her case notes.
 - b. Employee explained that it was her understanding that doing so would constitute insurance fraud.
- 14. During his investigative interview with Board staff, Respondent indicated the following regarding his 12/15 teleconference with Employee that he recalled Employee telling him about concerns regarding changing dates on cases notes. During that same interview, Respondent recalled that:
 - a. He became aware that case notes were being altered when investigating the

facts after the complaint was filed with the Board and that while he learned of it then he allowed the administrators to deal with the issue.

- b. The only thing Respondent was aware of was that there had been some documentation altering.
- c. Agency administration was handling that issue and if Respondent would have become involved then he would have been taking time away from his duties.
- 15. As clinical director and one of the owners of Agency, Respondent largely shares responsibility of all clinical and business practices of Agency.
- It appears highly concerning that documentation altering was occurring within Agency.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251 et seq.
 and the rules promulgated by the Board relating to Respondent's professional practice as a
 licensed behavioral health professional.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(I), any conduct, practice or condition that impairs the ability of the licensee to safely and competently practice the licensee's profession.
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(q), failing or refusing to maintain adequate records of behavioral health services provided to a client.

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, the parties agree to the provision and penalties imposed as follows:

 As of the effective date of the Consent Agreement, Respondent, who was intending to retire from the provision of clinical services notwithstanding this dispute, agrees not

1	to practice under his licenses until the date of their expiration.
2	2. Respondent's licenses, LCSW-0754 and LMFT-0182, by rule, expire
3	11/30/17
4	3. Upon the expiration date, Respondent agrees not to renew his licenses.
5	4. Respondent agrees not to submit any type of new license application to
6	Board for a minimum of five (5) years.
7	5. This Consent Agreement is conclusive evidence of the matters described her
8	and may be considered by the Board in determining appropriate sanctions in the even
9	subsequent violation occurs.
10	PROFESSIONAL ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT
11	John B. Grossman 9-20-2017 Date
12	Jen B. Grossman Date
13	BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT
14 15	Dated this 11th day of October 2017.
16	$M_1 \supset 0$
17	By: TOBI ZAVALA, Executive Director
18	Arizona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners
19	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed This / W day of Active, 2017 with:
20	Arizona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners
21	3443 N. Central Ave., Suite 1700 Phoenix, AZ 85012
22	COPY of the foregoing mailed via Interagency Mail This /// day of /// 2017, to:
23	
24	Marc Harris Assistant Attorney General
25	1275 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

1	COPY of the foregoing mailed via Certified mail no. 1011/15000086887998
2	This day of Atoles , 2017, to:
3	Jon B. Grossman Address of Record
4	Respondent
5	COPY of the foregoing mailed via Mail This Aday of Actolus, 2017, to:
6	Rick K. Carter
7	Wong & Carter, P.C. 3003 N. Central Ave., #1000
8	Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorney for Respondent
9	Theories to recopolition
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	1. [1]