1

3

4 5

6

8

7

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINERS

In the Matter of:

Taylor M. Posso, LIAC-155233, Licensed Independent Addiction Counselor, In the State of Arizona.

RESPONDENT

CASE NO. 2024-0375
DECREE OF CENSURE

In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of the above captioned matter, consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizona State Board of Behavioral Health Examiners ("Board"), and pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32-3281(F) and 41-1092.07(F)(5), Taylor M. Posso ("Respondent") and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- Any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board concerning the allegations, and all related materials and exhibits may be retained in the Board's file pertaining to this matter.
- 2. Respondent has the right to a formal administrative hearing at which Respondent can present evidence and cross examine the State's witnesses. Respondent hereby irrevocably waives their right to such formal hearing concerning these allegations and irrevocably waives their right to any rehearing or judicial review relating to the allegations contained in this Consent Agreement.

. . .

- Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this Consent Agreement.
- 4. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning it to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke their acceptance of this Consent Agreement or make any modifications to it. Any modification of this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 5. The findings contained in the Findings of Fact portion of this Consent Agreement are conclusive evidence of the facts stated herein between only Respondent and the Board for the final disposition of this matter and may be used for purposes of determining sanctions in any future disciplinary matter.
- 6. This Consent Agreement is subject to the Board's approval, and will be effective only when the Board accepts it. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value, nor shall it be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by any party hereto, except that Respondent agrees that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or of any records relating thereto.
- Agreement is solely to settle this Board matter and does not preclude the Board from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including but not

limited to violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.

- 8. Respondent understands that once the Board approves and signs this Consent Agreement, it is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and that it shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 9. Respondent further understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(n) and may result in disciplinary action pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3281.
- 10. The Board therefore retains jurisdiction over Respondent and may initiate disciplinary action against Respondent if it determines that they have failed to comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement or of the practice act.

The Board issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent is the holder of License No. LIAC-155233 for the practice of addiction counseling in the State of Arizona.
- Since 01/22, Respondent has been employed with Agency as a therapist providing therapy to assigned clients.
- 3. From 09/23 03/24, Respondent provided individual and group behavioral health services to Complainant at Agency.
- A 09/15/23 assessment for Complainant included diagnoses of anxiety, depression, psychosis, mania, and trauma and Complainant denied a history of substance use.

- 5. A 09/15/23 treatment plan completed by Respondent included diagnoses of Dissociative Disorder, panic disorder, and Generalized Anxiety Disorder with no mention of substance use goals or concerns.
- 6. None of Complainant's progress notes completed by Respondent include conversations around substance use or anything related to substance use.
- 7. Even a 09/26/22 psychological evaluation Agency obtained from a previous provider failed to include any sort of substance use concerns and included mental health only diagnoses with recommendations for EMDR and DBT to address mental health concerns.
- 8. Due to possible concerns with Respondent practicing outside the scope of her license, Board staff subpoenaed Agency for a client list of clients Licensee has treated at Agency which showed she has treated 44 clients in total and 7 of those clients failed to include any sort of substance use diagnoses.
 - 9. Board staff randomly subpoenaed three clinical records for 7 of those clients.
- 10. From 06/23 06/24, Respondent treated AR and AR's records showed the following:
 - a. A 06/14/23 assessment where AR denies a history of substance use and was diagnosed with PTSD, major depressive disorder, and generalize anxiety disorder.
 - b. 06/23/23 and 05/01/24 treatment plans included diagnoses for mental health only and despite the 05/01/24 indicating AR's family members had substance use issues, substance use was not a goal within the treatment plan.
 - c. None of AR's progress note document conversations or a focus related to the
 family members substance use.
- 11. From 01/24 10/24, Respondent treated BJ and BJ's records showed the following:

- a. A 01/03/24 assessment where BJ reported he was a sex addict and previous substance use but was not diagnosed with any sort of substance use disorder.
- b. A 01/03/24 treatment plan indicated addictive behaviors but the goals were only related to the mental health diagnoses listed within the treatment plan.
- c. None of BJ's progress notes include any conversations around substance use concerns.
- 12. From 07/23 07/24, Respondent treated HT and HT's records showed the following:
 - a. A 07/18/23 assessment where HT reported anxiety, depression, and a history of trauma and denied a history of substance use, with no substance use disorder diagnosis.
 - b. 09/27/23 and 05/01/24 treatment plans include mental health only diagnoses and zero goals around substance use concerns.
 - c. None of HT's progress notes include any conversations around substance use concerns
 - 13. Respondent represented the following during her investigative interview:
 - a. Supervisor assigns Respondent clients.
 - b. Complainant presented with past trauma and anxiety and was previously seeing an outside therapist that diagnosed Complainant with DID.
 - Even though Complainant struggled with binging, she was not diagnosed with a substance use disorder.
 - d. Complainant did report previous substance use issues with alcohol and marijuana.

- e. Respondent is aware her scope of license recently changed and was made aware a couple weeks prior to the interview by Supervisor.
- f. Respondent was not aware she was possibly practicing outside the scope of her license and thought that since she was working for a program at Agency she was allowed to treat anyone as long as she had the training in those areas.
- g. Respondent thought the only limitations with her license was that she could not operate her own practice.
- h. Respondent had various trainings and certifications in certain areas to treat mental health.
- i. Respondent acknowledged treating clients with only mental health diagnoses at prior employments as well.
- 14. Respondent felt since she was simply working for an agency or program under someone's supervision, that she was able to treat any client as long as she was competent in those areas.
- 15. Even though Respondent is trained and certified in certain modalities and areas, this does not trump Respondent's scope of practice per her licensure.
- 16. By treating clients with no substance use or addiction diagnoses, Respondent in fact has been practicing outside the scope of her license while employed at Agency and even prior employers.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251 et seq. and the rules promulgated by the Board relating to Respondent's professional practice as a licensed behavioral health professional.

1	2.	The conduct and circumstances de	scribed in the Findings of Fact constitute a
2	violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(m), engaging or offering to engage as a licensee in activitie		
3	that are not congruent with the licensee's professional education, training or experience.		
4		ORDER of CE	NSURE
5	Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the parties agree t		
6	the provisions and penalties imposed as follows:		
7	1.	Respondent is hereby censured, wh	nich is an official action against their license,
8	for their conduct as set forth in the Findings of Fact.		
9	2.	This Consent Agreement shall be ef	fective on the date of entry below.
0	3.	This Consent Agreement is conclus	ive evidence of the matters described herein
11	and may be considered by the Board in determining appropriate sanctions in the event a		
12	subsequent	violation occurs.	
13 14	PRO	FESSIONAL ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND	DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT
	PRO Taylor M ? Taylor m ?	FESSIONAL ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND Posso 2024 14:58 MST)	Dec 17, 2024
14	PRO Taylor M ? Taylor m Posso (Dec 17, Taylor M. Po	POSSO 2024 14:58 MST)	
14 15	Taylor M ? Taylor in Posso (Dec 17,	POSSO 2024 14:58 MST)	Dec 17, 2024
14 15 16 17	Taylor M S Taylor m Posso (Dec 17, Taylor M. Po	POSSO 2024 14:58 MST)	Dec 17, 2024 Date
14 15 16 17	Taylor M S Taylor M Posso (Dec 17, Taylor M. Po	POSSO 2024 14:58 MST) DSSO	Dec 17, 2024 Date
14 15 16 17 18	Taylor M S Taylor M S Taylor M Posso (Dec 17, Taylor M. Po	POSSO POSSO BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATE L. Zanola I ZAVALA, Executive Director	Dec 17, 2024 Date ES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT Jan 13, 2025 Date
14 15 16 17 18 19	Taylor M S Taylor M S Taylor M Posso (Dec 17, Taylor M. Po	POSSO 2024 14:58 MST) DSSO BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATE	Dec 17, 2024 Date ES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT Jan 13, 2025 Date
114 115 116 117 118 119 220	Taylor M Staylor M Staylor M Posso (Dec 17, Taylor M. Posso (Dec 17, Ta	POSSO POSSO BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATE L. Zanola I ZAVALA, Executive Director	Dec 17, 2024 Date ES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT Jan 13, 2025 Date Date
114 115 116 117 118 119 120 221	Taylor M Staylor M Staylor M Posso (Dec 17, Taylor M. Posso (Dec 17, Ta	BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATE L. Zorola I ZAVALA, Executive Director on a Board of Behavioral Health Examin	Dec 17, 2024 Date ES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT Jan 13, 2025 Date Date
14 15 16	Taylor M Staylor M Posso (Dec 17, Taylor M Posso (Dec	BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATE L. Zorola I ZAVALA, Executive Director on a Board of Behavioral Health Examin	Dec 17, 2024 Date ES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT Jan 13, 2025 Date Date