3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINERS

In the Matter of:

Mary P. Walczak, LAC-16456, **Licensed Associate Counselor.** In the State of Arizona.

CASE NOS. 2023-0213 2023-0214

CONSENT AGREEMENT

RESPONDENT

In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of the above captioned matter, consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizonal State Board of Behavioral Health Examiners ("Board"), and pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32-3281(F) and 41-1092.07(F)(5), Mary P. Walczak ("Respondent") and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- Any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or 1. received by the Board concerning the allegations, and all related materials and exhibits may be retained in the Board's file pertaining to this matter.
- 2. Respondent has the right to a formal administrative hearing at which Respondent can present evidence and cross examine the State's witnesses. Respondent hereby irrevocably waives their right to such formal hearing concerning these allegations and irrevocably waives their right to any rehearing or judicial review relating to the allegations contained in this Consent Agreement.
- 3. Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this Consent Agreement.

- 25 || .

- 4. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning it to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke their acceptance of this Consent Agreement or make any modifications to it. Any modification of this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 5. The findings contained in the Findings of Fact portion of this Consent Agreement are conclusive evidence of the facts stated herein between only Respondent and the Board for the final disposition of this matter and may be used for purposes of determining sanctions in any future disciplinary matter.
- 6. This Consent Agreement is subject to the Board's approval, and will be effective only when the Board accepts it. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value, nor shall it be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by any party hereto, except that Respondent agrees that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or of any records relating thereto.
- 7. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that the acceptance of this Consent Agreement is solely to settle this Board matter and does not preclude the Board from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including but not limited to violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or

otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.

- 8. Respondent understands that once the Board approves and signs this Consent Agreement, it is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and that it shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 9. Respondent further understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(n) and may result in disciplinary action pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3281.
- 10. The Board therefore retains jurisdiction over Respondent and may initiate disciplinary action against Respondent if it determines that they have failed to comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement or of the practice act.

The Board issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Respondent is the holder of License No. LAC-16456 for the practice of counseling in the State of Arizona.
- 2. From 03/23 05/23, Respondent provided behavioral health services to Client at Agency.
- 3. On 03/24/23, Complainant 2 signed an informed consent which indicated Agency does not provide letters to the courts or provide parenting recommendations to the courts.
- 4. Respondent only met with Client a total of three times and Respondent was made aware during the 03/24/23 intake that the family court wanted Client to engage in therapy.
- On 05/11/23, Complainant 2 requested that Respondent terminate services with Client.
- 6. On 05/23/23, Complainant 1 informed Respondent that Agency would be terminating her employment contract and would allow 30 days to transition clients.

24

- 7. On 05/23/23, following the termination of Client's therapy services, Respondent wrote a letter addressed to the family court judge directly with her name, LAC and Agency's name and address at the top which included the following:
 - Respondent was writing this letter out of concern for Client and concern for herself and her livelihood, which Complainant 2 has derailed.
 - b. Respondent quoted Complainant 2's email where he terminates services and states it seems Complainant 2 wants to find the right fit for himself rather than Client.
 - c. Respondent imagines it became clear to Complainant 2 that Respondent intended to direct the course of treatment and resist manipulation and intimidation.
 - d. Complainant 2 seemed resistant to acknowledging that adverse childhood experiences must be addressed for Client to heal.
 - e. Complainant 2 does not seem to understand the degree to which his behaviors may be delaying and sabotaging Client's recovery.
 - f. Complainant 2 called her employer, Complainant 1, and Respondent's employment contract has been canceled.
 - g. Complainant 2 has been successful in waging a war against Mother through the courts and Client is caught in the middle.
 - h. It is Respondent's understanding that Complainant 2 has already lost one daughter and his relationship with Client is now deteriorating.
 - Complainant 2 does not seem open to influence to effect positive change in himself.
 - j. Respondent is terrified of Complainant 2's position as a police officer.

- k. Complainant 2 has shown himself to be vindictive and relying on his power to further his agenda.
- I. Complainant 2 has temporarily destroyed Respondent financially.
- m. Respondent is pursuing her LPC or a position with a therapist so she can accommodate a court order to work with Client.
- 8. Respondent writes this several page letter to be submitted to the courts outlining her personal concerns with Complainant 2 and failed to consult with anyone prior to writing this letter.
- 9. The informed consent Complainant 2 signed outlined that Agency does not write letters to the courts, and a 06/02/22 group supervision Respondent attended included a conversation around avoiding providing letters of recommendation that would put therapists into an advocate role and create potential boundary violations.
- 10. Respondent extended her boundaries of competence by offering to be a safe harbor therapist for Client yet acknowledged not knowing what a safe harbor therapist was.
- 11. Respondent did not obtain any sort of signed release of information authorizing Respondent to speak directly to the judge or court, meaning Respondent provided personal health information of one of her clients to someone she did not have authorization to do so.
- 12. On 05/26/23, Respondent was subsequently terminated effective immediately from Agency for writing the 05/23/23.
- 13. On 05/25/23 following notification that her employment contract would be terminated within 30 days, Respondent wrote a letter addressed to Complainant 2's Bureau Chief with her name, LAC, and Agency's name and address at the bottom which included the following in part:
 - a. Respondent would like to bring attention that Complainant 2 has used the collar of his position to threaten her.

- b. Complainant 2 has essentially abused the power of his position in a personal matter that has resulted in Respondent losing her contracted position at Agency.
- c. Respondent does not know the full extent of Complainant 2's mental health but, as an officer, at the least she imagines he has observed and dealt with things on his job that could possibly have affected him in a way that he would benefit from therapy for his mental well-being.
- d. Respondent hopes Complainant 2 is getting the message she cares more about "his daughter's" mental health and the implications for the path her life can take due to unresolved trauma than Respondent cares about how intimidated he is or might be.
- e. The fact is, Complainant 2 can create a world of trouble for Respondent, up to and including her accidental death.
- f. Respondent is preemptively bringing this to attention because she has no idea how Complainant 2 will react to her letter to the Court and because of the impact that his poor decisions are having on a number of people.
- g. Respondent believes these are red flags and Complainant 2 is on shaky ground, both personally and professionally.
- h. Given Complainant 2's action, he does not seem to truly want to ensure that "his daughter" receives the competent and appropriate care she needs.
- Respondent is not unemployed and Complainant 2 has completed a threat that is going to severely impact her financial obligations, not to mention that she loved and wanted that job.
- j. This letter included Respondent's signature on a letterhead for Agency.

- 14. Respondent extended her boundaries of competence by writing a letter to Complainant 2's colleague outlining her personal concerns with Complainant 2.
- 15. Following notice that her employment contract with Agency would be terminated, Respondent writes a letter to Complainant's employer using her credentials and Agency's name in the signature line.
- 16. Respondent appears to exploit Client by referencing Client within this letter to the bureau chief and even implies that Complainant 2 does not want to ensure Client receive appropriate care she needs.
- 17. This letter sent to the bureau chief appears retaliatory in nature since Respondent only writes this letter after she is notified of the impending termination from Agency.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251 *et seq.* and the rules promulgated by the Board relating to Respondent's professional practice as a licensed behavioral health professional.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(m), engaging or offering to engage as a licensee in activities that are no congruent with the licensee's professional education, training, or experience.
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(I), engaging in any conduct, practice or condition that impairs the ability of the licensee to safely and competently practice the licensee's profession.
- 4. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(p), failing to conform to minimum practice standards as developed by the Board, as it relates to the following:

A.A.C. R4-6-1105. Confidentiality

1	ORDER NOT TO RE	<u>NEW</u>	
2	Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, the parties agree to		
3	the provision and penalties imposed as follows:		
4	1. As of the effective date of the Consent Aç	greement, Respondent shall not practice	
5	under their license.		
6	2. Respondent's license, LAC-16456, shall t	by rule, expire on 12/31/24.	
7	Respondent agrees not to renew their lice	ense.	
8	4. Respondent agrees not to submit any	type of new license application to the	
9	Board for a minimum of two (2) years.		
10	5. This Consent Agreement is conclusive e	vidence of the matters described herein	
11	and may be considered by the Board in determining	appropriate sanctions in the event a	
12	subsequent violation occurs.		
13			
14	PROFESSIONAL ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DAT	ES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT	
15	Mary P Walczak Mary P Walczak (Jun 20, 2024 10:25 PDT)	Jun 20, 2024	
16	Mary P. Walczak	Date	
17	BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT		
18	By: Mili Zarola	Jul 13, 2024	
19	By: TOBI ZAVALA, Executive Director	 Date	
20	Arizona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners		
21	Jul 13, 2024		
22	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed with:		
23 24	Arizona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners 1740 West Adams Street, Suite 3600 Phoenix, AZ 85007		
25	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing sent electronically _	Jul 13, 2024	

'	I WOTA DASKITI
2	Assistant Attorney General 2005 North Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004
3	
4	Mary P. Walczak Address of Record Respondent
5	
6	Kimberly Kent 1850 North Central Avenue Suite 1900
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorney for Respondent
8	Attorney for Nespondent
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	