BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINERS

In the Matter of:

Har K. Khalsa, LCSW-11307, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, In the State of Arizona. CASE NO. 2021-0154
CONSENT AGREEMENT

RESPONDENT

In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of the above captioned matter, consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizona State Board of Behavioral Health Examiners ("Board"), and pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32-3281(F) and 41-1092.07(F)(5), Har K. Khalsa ("Respondent") and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- 1. Any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board concerning the allegations, and all related materials and exhibits may be retained in the Board's file pertaining to this matter.
- 2. Respondent has the right to a formal administrative hearing at which Respondent can present evidence and cross examine the State's witnesses. Respondent hereby irrevocably waives their right to such formal hearing concerning these allegations and irrevocably waives their right to any rehearing or judicial review relating to the allegations contained in this Consent Agreement.
- Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this Consent Agreement.

- 4. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning it to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke their acceptance of this Consent Agreement or make any modifications to it. Any modification of this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 5. The findings contained in the Findings of Fact portion of this Consent Agreement are conclusive evidence of the facts stated herein between only Respondent and the Board for the final disposition of this matter and may be used for purposes of determining sanctions in any future disciplinary matter.
- 6. Although Respondent does not agree that all the Findings of Fact set forth in this Consent Agreement are supported by the evidence, Respondent acknowledges that it is the Board's position that, if this matter proceeded to formal hearing, the Board could establish sufficient evidence to support a conclusion that certain of Respondent's conduct constituted unprofessional conduct. Therefore, Respondent has agreed to enter into this Consent Agreement as an economical and practical means of resolving the issues associated with the complaint filed against her. Further, Respondent acknowledges that the Board may use the evidence in its possession relating to this Consent Agreement for purposes of determining sanctions in any further disciplinary matter.
- 7. This Consent Agreement is subject to the Board's approval, and will be effective only when the Board accepts it. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value, nor shall it be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by any party hereto, except that Respondent agrees that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or of any records relating thereto.

25 || -

- 8. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that the acceptance of this Consent Agreement is solely to settle this Board matter and does not preclude the Board from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including but not limited to violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.
- 9. Respondent understands that once the Board approves and signs this Consent Agreement, it is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and that it shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 10. Respondent further understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(n) and may result in disciplinary action pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3281.
- 11. The Board therefore retains jurisdiction over Respondent and may initiate disciplinary action against Respondent if it determines that they have failed to comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement or of the practice act.

The Board issues the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

 Respondent is the holder of License No. LCSW-11307 for the practice of social work in Arizona.

- 2. From 05/13/19 01/28/20, Respondent provided therapy services to a client ("Mother").
- 3. From 08/12/19 05/21/21, Respondent provided therapy services to Mother's child ("Child").
- 4. According to the complainant ("Complainant"), and undenied by Respondent, Respondent provided therapy services to Child's grandmother in 2019.
 - 5. Respondent represented the following in her written response:
 - a. Respondent began individual therapy with Mother regarding her relationship with Complainant and whether or not to stay in the relationship.
 - b. Mother identified concerns related to Child, and Respondent agreed to begin providing therapy services to Child, in addition to providing therapy services to Mother, but only with Complainant's approval.
 - c. From 11/19 01/20, Respondent became aware that providing therapeutic services to both Mother and Child concurrently was becoming more challenging, and she considered discontinuing services and providing referrals due to the high conflict dynamic and court involvement.
- Despite Respondent treating multiple members of the family and Mother's
 relationship issues with Complainant, she still made the decision to provide Child with
 therapeutic services.
- 7. In 04/20, Mother shared with Respondent that Child had been feeling suicidal, but she did not have a plan.
- 8. In 09/20, Mother reported to Respondent that Child engaged in self-harm and wrote goodbye letters to her family members and friends.
 - 9. In 10/20, Respondent discussed safety planning with Child and Mother.

• • •

24

4

12

11

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

2122

23

24

25 || .

- 10. Although Child disclosed suicidal thoughts as early as 04/20, Respondent did not discuss safety planning or prepare a safety plan for Child until 10/20, approximately six months after the fact.
 - 11. Respondent represented the following:
 - a. Respondent explained it was her assessment that Child responded positively with reported symptom reduction after Respondent began targeting her emotional regulation and "big feelings."
 - b. At the time, Respondent also included Complainant more actively in Child's treatment, supported Mother in getting Child a psychiatric provider involved in her care, and actively consulted with that provider.
 - c. Because of these actions and the improvement Child showed, Respondent made the determination to delay the creation of an official safety plan and monitor Child's progress and symptom presentation.
 - d. It is Respondent's belief that this met the needs of Child.
- 12. On 12/19, Complainant requested all medical records pertaining to Child, including SOAP notes in emails to Respondent.
- 13. Respondent denied the request for SOAP notes and, in response to the request for complete records, indicated the following:
 - a. As a matter of practice and procedure, Respondent does not release full records to her clients or their parents/guardians.
 - Respondent's practice is to invite parents to the office for a summary of the work or a written summary.
 - c. This has been Respondent's practice throughout her career, and it is all she can offer at this time.

- 14. On 12/30/19, Respondent wrote a letter to the parent's attorneys in regards to Child's records request indicating the following:
 - a. A treatment summary meets the requirements of Respondent not disclosing more of the PHI than is necessary, and allows for the customization of the information that is most pertinent to the purpose of the request.
 - b. Complainant requested records be released without any clear reason and has not communicated with him other than to request Child's records.
 - c. Respondent is concerned her refusal to provide records will be utilized to discredit her professional abilities and expertise to provide ongoing services to Child.
- 15. On 01/24/20, Complainant subpoenaed Child and Mother's records from Respondent, and Respondent's response referenced the 12/30/19 letter as justification for her refusal and objection.
- 16. In a 10/08/20 email, Complainant requested the entire intake for Child, but Respondent only agreed to provide the signature pages.
- 17. Respondent represented she only provided the signature page as she believed this is what was requested to affirm consent for treatment.
- 18. On 01/14/21, Respondent wrote a letter to the judge expressing concerns regarding the irreparable harm that can occur to Child's fractured relationship with Complainant if full records are released prior to the involvement of a TI.
- 19. On 03/09/21, the court granted a motion for order, ordering Respondent to release her full and complete records.
- 20. On 05/27/21, Complainant issued a second subpoena for Child and Mother's records.

|| --

23

24

23

24

- 21. Respondent represents that she believed this to be a second request for additional records which she understood her attorney responded to.
 - 22. Ultimately, Respondent released the requested records.
- 23. On multiple occasions, Respondent denied Complainant Child's records without providing a reason outlining the potential harm that could occur.
 - 24. Respondent made the following representation in her written response:
 - a. On 11/26/19, Complainant began requesting Child's records, and Respondent responded that it was her practice to provide a summary and/or more direct involvement and interactions with a parent requesting records.
 - b. In 12/19 01/20, Complainant began objecting to Respondent's treatment of Child.
 - c. In retrospect, Respondent believes it would have been in Child's best interest to be treated by a provider under a safe haven counseling agreement.
- 25. A 11/01/19 letter written on behalf of Child on the request of Mother contained the following recommendations:
 - a. It is recommended Child continues receiving therapy services to assist her with the adjustments and stress.
- 26. In a letter dated 11/19/19 from Respondent at the request of Mother, Respondent went above and beyond just providing factual information by including the following:
 - a. An incident with Complainant was stressful and concerning to Mother, and she entered therapy for support.
 - Messages from Complainant were angry, blaming, demeaning and harassing in nature.
 - c. Respondent supported Mother in her decision to change the locks on her home in order to prevent Complainant from reentering.

- d. Complainant engaged in verbally abusive, harassing, blaming, attacking, and threatening behaviors.
- e. This pattern of continuous stress provoking interactions and communications from Complainant poses a risk to Mother when managing the symptoms of her medical condition.
- f. Respondent supported Mother in filing an order of protection in order to provide both emotional and physical safety from Complainant.
- 27. In a 10/13/20 letter from Respondent to a judge, Respondent once again provided more than just factual information and stated multiple concerns including the following:
 - Respondent is highly concerned for the emotional and physical safety and well-being of Child while she is in Complainant's care.
 - b. It is Respondent's assessment that Child will be placed at high risk of selfharm and acting on her suicidal ideations if she returns to Complainant's home without prior family counseling.
 - c. There was an increase in Child's anxiety medication for panic attacks, but no increase in the depression medication due to environmental factors while residing at Complainant's home.
- 28. Respondent represents that the actions she took were based on her desire to protect the health, safety, and welfare of Child and that her advocacy was necessary to meet the moral and ethical obligations of her profession.
- 29. Furthermore, in a text to Mother and Complainant, Respondent recommended Child request to spend time at Mother's home if she is unable to feel better at Complainant's as it is believed it will help Child gain better regulation.
- 30. Additionally, Respondent's progress notes were missing the date Respondent signed the note.

- 31. Respondent's notes for telehealth visits were missing the mode of the session and the physical location of the client during the session.
 - 32. Respondent's treatment plan was missing the review date.
 - 33. Respondent provided the following information related to her health concerns:
 - a. Long term chronic stress.
 - b. Her husband's recent cancer diagnosis and the treatment he has been going through.
 - c. The loss of her father in 10/21.
 - d. Being the primary caregiver for her elderly mother.
- 34. Respondent represents these circumstances prevent her from continuing with the provision of quality behavioral health care, and that it is her desire and intention to focus on her health, wellbeing, and the care of her family members.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251 et seq. and the rules promulgated by the Board relating to Respondent's professional practice as a licensed behavioral health professional.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(I), engaging in any conduct, practice or condition that impairs the ability of the licensee to safely and competently practice the licensee's profession.
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(kk), Failing to make client records in the licensee's possession promptly available to the client, a minor client's parent, the client's legal guardian or the client's authorized representative on receipt of proper authorization to do so from the client, a minor client's parent, the client's legal guardian or the client's authorized representative.

. . .

23

24

2

3

4

4. Th	ne conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a
violation of A.R.S	6. § 32-3251(16)(m), engaging or offering to engage as a licensee in activities
that are not cong	ruent with the licensee's professional education, training or experience.
5. Th	ne conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a
violation of A.R.	S. § 32-3251(16)(p), failing to conform to minimum practice standards as
developed by the	board as it relates to the following:

A.A.C. R4-6-1101, Treatment Plan

A.A.C. R4-6-1103, Client Record

A.A.C. R4-6-1106, Telepractice

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, the parties agree to the provision and penalties imposed as follows:

- 1. As of the effective date of the Consent Agreement, Respondent shall not practice under their license.
 - 2. Respondent's license, LCSW-11307, shall by rule, expire on 05/31/22.
 - 3. Respondent agrees not to renew their license.
- Respondent agrees not to submit any type of new license application to the 4. Board for a minimum of five (5) years.
- 5. This Consent Agreement is conclusive evidence of the matters described herein and may be considered by the Board in determining appropriate sanctions in the event a subsequent violation occurs.

PROFESSIONAL ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT

Har Kaur Khalsa Har Kaur Khalsa (Apr 11, 2022 08:33 PDT)	Har Kai	ur Kl	ialsa	
	Har Kaur Khalsa	(Apr 11, 2	022 08:33 F	DT)

Apr 11, 2022

Har K. Khalsa

2	By: Moli Carola_	May 17, 2022				
3	TOBI ZAVALA, Executive Director	Date				
4	Arizona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners					
5	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed May 17, 2022	<u>)</u>				
6	with:					
7	Arizona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners 1740 West Adams Street, Suite 3600 Phoenix, AZ 85007					
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Лау 17, 2022				
9	EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing sent electronically <u>'</u> to:	71ay 11, 2022				
10	 Mona Baskin					
11	Assistant Attorney General 2005 North Central Avenue					
12	Phoenix, AZ 85004					
13	Har K. Khalsa Address of Record					
14	Respondent					
15	Charles Hover Renaud, Cook Drury Mesaros, PA					
16	One North Central, Ste 900 Phoenix, AZ 85004					
17	Attorney for Respondent					
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						

BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT

23

24