

1 immediate start.

2 4. Aside from not providing any advance notice to her employer of her immediate
3 resignation, Respondent made no effort to coordinate care or provide transition or closure
4 sessions to her existing clients.

5 5. According to the complaint, many of Respondent's clients who have trauma or
6 abandonment issues were retriggered and felt responsible or abandoned by Respondent.

7 6. In a 04/16 staffing note provided by the complainant regarding a minor female
8 client ("Client"), it was documented that:

9 a. "[Client] reported struggling with believing it was her fault the previous
10 therapist left, and this was the focus of the first few sessions."

11 b. Client reported and appears to struggle with significant abandonment issues
12 and has difficulty with trust.

13 7. When asked by Board staff why she did not inform her clients that she was
14 leaving the agency, Respondent indicated the following:

15 a. "That would have been detrimental to them. That was a therapeutic judgment
16 call on my part. If I would have told them, they would have freaked out."

17 b. Respondent still stands with the decision she made, as she believes it would
18 have been more detrimental to the clients to inform them that she was
19 leaving.

20 8. As the ACA Code of Ethics indicates, counselors make appropriate
21 arrangements for continuation of care during interruptions in treatment.

22 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

23 1. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251 *et seq.*
24 and the rules promulgated by the Board relating to Respondent's professional practice as a
25 licensed behavioral health professional.

