2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINERS

In the Matter of:

Kimberly J. Crooks, LISAC-15098, Licensed Independent Substance Abuse Counselor, In the State of Arizona. CASE NO. 2023-0132 CONSENT AGREEMENT

RESPONDENT

In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of the above captioned matter, consistent with the public interest, statutory requirements and responsibilities of the Arizona State Board of Behavioral Health Examiners ("Board"), and pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 32-3281(F) and 41-1092.07(F)(5), Kimberly J. Crooks ("Respondent") and the Board enter into this Consent Agreement, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as a final disposition of this matter.

RECITALS

Respondent understands and agrees that:

- Any record prepared in this matter, all investigative materials prepared or received by the Board concerning the allegations, and all related materials and exhibits may be retained in the Board's file pertaining to this matter.
- 2. Respondent has the right to a formal administrative hearing at which Respondent can present evidence and cross examine the State's witnesses. Respondent hereby irrevocably waives their right to such formal hearing concerning these allegations and irrevocably waives their right to any rehearing or judicial review relating to the allegations contained in this Consent Agreement.

٠..

- Respondent has the right to consult with an attorney prior to entering into this Consent Agreement.
- 4. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that upon signing this Consent Agreement and returning it to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke their acceptance of this Consent Agreement or make any modifications to it. Any modification of this original document is ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties in writing.
- 5. The findings contained in the Findings of Fact portion of this Consent Agreement are conclusive evidence of the facts stated herein between only Respondent and the Board for the final disposition of this matter and may be used for purposes of determining sanctions in any future disciplinary matter.
- 6. This Consent Agreement is subject to the Board's approval, and will be effective only when the Board accepts it. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement is withdrawn and shall be of no evidentiary value, nor shall it be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by any party hereto, except that Respondent agrees that should the Board reject this Consent Agreement and this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent shall assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this document or of any records relating thereto.
- 7. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that the acceptance of this Consent Agreement is solely to settle this Board matter and does not preclude the Board from instituting other proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future. Furthermore, and notwithstanding any language in this Consent Agreement, this Consent Agreement does not preclude in any way any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of this state from instituting proceedings, investigating claims, or taking legal action as may be appropriate now or in the future relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent, including but not

3

11

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

24 25

23

limited to violations of Arizona's Consumer Fraud Act. Respondent acknowledges that, other than with respect to the Board, this Consent Agreement makes no representations, implied or otherwise, about the views or intended actions of any other state agency or officer or political subdivision of the state relating to this matter or other matters concerning Respondent.

- 8. Respondent understands that once the Board approves and signs this Consent Agreement, it is a public record that may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and that it shall be reported as required by law to the National Practitioner Data Bank.
- 9. Respondent further understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(n) and may result in disciplinary action pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3281.
- 10. The Board therefore retains jurisdiction over Respondent and may initiate disciplinary action against Respondent if it determines that they have failed to comply with the terms of this Consent Agreement or of the practice act.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- Respondent is the holder of License No. LISAC-15098 for the practice of substance abuse counseling in the state of Arizona.
- From 10/21 01/22, Respondent provided behavioral health services to Client at Agency.
- Since 02/22, Respondent provided behavioral health services and neurofeedback services to Client at Private Practice.
- Client's goals at Agency were to maintain a program of recovery and reduce anxiety.
- 5. Client's goals at Private Practice were to reduce anxiety, reduce fear that panic symptoms will recur without the ability to manage them, and manage anxiety and panic symptoms to alleviate the need for medication.

24

- 6. On 01/11/22, 02/24/22, and 10/14/22 Respondent wrote letters on behalf of Client addressed to a judge which included various information including the following:
 - a. Client's involvement in treatment.
 - b. Client did not meet the criteria for a substance use disorder.
 - Client only meets criteria for PTSD, anxiety, and ADD.
 - d. Client and Minor would benefit from unsupervised visitations and a consistent parenting plan.
 - e. According to the supervised visitation reports, Client and Minor have a positive relationship and Respondent feels they would benefit from being together more often.
 - Respondent recommends equal, unsupervised parenting time for both parents.
 - g. Substance use disorder, borderline personality disorder, and antisocial disorder have been ruled out.
 - h. According to the supervised visitation reports, Client and Minor have a positive relationship and Respondent feels they would benefit from being together more often.
 - Respondent recommends equal, unsupervised parenting time for both parents.
 - 7. On 11/18/22, Respondent testified in court and stated the following in part:
 - a. Respondent did not see any reason why Client should not be able to see Minor and does not believe Client poses a danger whatsoever.
 - b. Respondent acknowledged making an opinion yet having zero experience in any sort of parenting time or legal decision-making issues in court cases.

- c. When asked how Respondent knew what Minor would benefit from, she stated as a mother herself she knows what it means.
- 8. Nowhere within Client's goals for therapy was there mention of goals around court proceedings or working towards unsupervised visits with Minor.
- Respondent extended her boundaries of competence by writing at least three
 letters for Client and testifying in court providing an opinion of Client's mental status and a parenting plan.
- 10. Respondent provided an opinion that Minor would benefit from unsupervised visits with Client and recommended equal, unsupervised parenting time for both parents when Respondent never treated, diagnosed, or evaluated Minor or Father.
- 11. During the course of psychotherapy services, Respondent transitioned Client to neurofeedback services when Respondent realized Client did not have an active substance use issue.
- 12. Respondent believes neurofeedback is within the scope of her practice because she completed her dissertation on neurofeedback and was deemed an expert after completing extensive research on this topic; yet has no documentation to corroborate that she was deemed an expert.
- 13. As a LISAC, Respondent has a limited scope of practice to treat only substance use clients.
- 14. Respondent admitted that she transitioned Client to neurofeedback services when it became apparent that Client was not longer dealing with substance use issues.
- 15. Respondent represents she only provides neurofeedback at Private Practice when in fact she provided psychotherapy services to Client at Private Practice before transitioning her to neurofeedback services.

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 || -

- 16. Based on concerns with Respondent practicing outside the scope of her license, Board staff researched Respondent's Psychology Today profile which showed the following in part:
 - a. Respondent advertises herself as a Psychologist and LISAC.
 - As a doctor in clinical psychology, Respondent is competent in many modalities.
 - c. Respondent's specialties and expertise are in trauma, PTSD, anxiety, and addiction.
 - d. Respondent's expertise includes Alzheimer's, behavioral issues, borderline personality disorder, mood disorders, psychosis, depression, divorce, elderly persons disorders, grief, sexual abuse, stress, family systems, and trauma focused.
- 17. After Board staff began questioning Respondent about the services she provides, she went and changed information within her Psychology Today profile removing a number of areas of expertise and description of Private Practice, yet still advertised herself as a Psychologist.
- 18. Board staff contacted the Deputy Director with the Board of Psychologist Examiners who confirmed they contacted Respondent about advertising herself as a Psychologist unlawfully.
- 19. The Deputy Director confirmed that Respondent had removed the Psychologist credential from her Psychology Today profile, but when Board staff checked at a later time, Respondent had again put the Psychologist credential after her name within her Psychology Today profile meaning she deleted the credential then went back and added it after being notified it was unlawful.

- 20. Respondent is inappropriately misrepresenting herself as a psychologist when she is not licensed as a psychologist in any way which was confirmed by Board staff's research and confirmation from staff with the Arizona Board of Psychologist Examiners.
- 21. Upon review of Client's clinical record at Agency, the following deficiencies were noted:
 - a. The informed consents failed to include the following minimum requirements.
 - The purpose of treatment.
 - General procedures to be used in treatment including benefits, limitations, and potential risks.
 - An explanation of the limitations on confidentiality.
 - Notification of the licensee's supervision or involvement with a treatment team of professionals.
 - The client's right to participate in treatment decisions and in the development and periodic review and revision of the client's treatment plan.
 - The client's right to refuse any recommended treatment or to withdraw consent to treatment and to be advised of the consequences of refusal or withdrawal.
 - The dated signature from the client or the client's legal representative.
 - b. The treatment plan failed to include the following minimum requirements:
 - The date when the client's treatment plan will be reviewed.
 - The dated signature of the client or the client's legal representative.
 - c. The progress notes failed to include the time spent providing behavioral health services.

- 22. Upon review of Client's clinical records at Private Practice, the following deficiencies were noted:
 - a. The informed consents failed to include the following minimum requirements:
 - The client's right to participate in treatment decisions and in the development and periodic review and revision of the client's treatment plan.
 - The client's right to refuse any recommended treatment or to withdraw consent to treatment and to be advised of the consequences of refusal or withdrawal.
 - The client's right to be informed of all fees that the client is required to pay and the licensee's refund and collection policies and procedures.
 - The dated signature from an authorized representative of the behavioral health entity.
 - b. The treatment plan failed to include the following minimum requirements:
 - The date when the client's treatment plan will be reviewed.
 - The dated signature of the client or the client's legal representative.
 - c. The billing records included the following deficiencies:
 - There were progress notes for three dates without any corroborating billing records.
 - There were billing records for 14 services without any corroborating progress notes for these billed services.
 - The fees Respondent charged range from \$60-\$250 even though all of the sessions indicated they were 50-minute sessions.
- 23. Respondent has been independently licensed since 2018 and fails to implement minimum practice standards to her clinical documentation.

- 24. Respondent renewed her LISAC license in 2020 and 2022 where she was required to complete the Board's Statutes and Rules tutorial which covers minimum documentation standards.
- 25. Since the filing of this complaint Respondent has provided updated informed consents and treatment plan templates which appear to meet all minimum requirements.
- 26. During the course of Respondent providing treatment to Client, Respondent was emailed a subpoena and signed release of information to release Client's clinical records.
- 27. On 11/01/22, Father emailed Respondent indicating he was attaching a subpoena for records a HIPAA release signed by Client.
- 28. Upon receipt of this email, Respondent failed to respond in any capacity to this email and request for records.
- 29. The subpoena clearly outlined a demand for Client's clinical records and was signed by a Deputy Clerk with the Superior Court.
- 30. The HIPAA release that was attached to Father's email had Respondent's name on it and it was signed and dated by Client.
- 31. Respondent represented she did not respond to Father's email or provide Client's clinical records to Father since the subpoena was not appropriately served to Respondent.
- 32. Respondent represents she in turn provided Client's records to Client in-person and had a phone call with Client regarding the release of records, but there is no documentation corroborating this occurred.
- 33. During Board staff's investigative interview, it was found Respondent has been operating Private Practice since at least 02/23.
- 34. Upon review of Board records, Respondent only had Agency listed as her employment with the Board.

- 35. As of 11/08/23, Respondent has failed to update her employment records with the Board pursuant to Board rules reflecting her secondary employment at Private Practice.
- 36. Respondent has been operating Private Practice and failed to update her employment as required by Board rules.
- 37. Respondent was properly notified of the 12/08/23 Board meeting where this matter would be heard, and respondent failed to appear.
- 38. During the 12/08/23 Board meeting, the Board members decided to delay hearing the case while Board staff attempted to contact Respondent regarding her participation in the Board meeting.
- 39. Board staff subsequently called and emailed Respondent and as of 12/13/23, have not heard from Respondent.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board has jurisdiction over Respondent pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3251 *et seq.* and the rules promulgated by the Board relating to Respondent's professional practice as a licensed behavioral health professional.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(m), engaging or offering to engage as a licensee in activities that are not congruent with the licensee's professional education, training or experience.
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(c)(iii), making an oral or written misrepresentation of a fact regarding the licensee's skills or the value of any treatment provided or to be provided.
- 4. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(p), failing to conform to minimum practice standards as developed by the board, as it relates to the following:

A.A.C. R4-6-1101. Consent for Treatment

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25 II

A.A.C. R4-6-1102. Treatment Plan

A.A.C. R4-6-1103. Client Record

A.A.C. R4-6-1104. Financial and Billing Records

- 5. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(kk), failing to make a client records in the licensee's possession promptly available to the client, a minor client's parent, the client's legal guardian or the client's unauthorized representative on receipt of proper authorization to do so from the client, minor client's parent, the client's legal guardian or the client's authorized representative.
- 6. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact constitute a violation of A.R.S. § 32-3251(16)(ii), violating any federal or state law, rule or regulation applicable to the practice of behavioral health, as it relates to:

A.A.C. R4-6-205. Change of Contact Information

ORDER

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, the parties agree to the provision and penalties imposed as follows:

ORDER NOT TO RENEW

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law, the parties agree to the provision and penalties imposed as follows:

- As of the effective date of the Consent Agreement, Respondent shall not practice under their license.
 - 2. Respondent's license, LISAC-15098, shall by rule, expire on 04/30/24.
 - 3. Respondent agrees not to renew their license.
- 4. Respondent agrees not to submit any type of new license application to the Board for a minimum of five (5) years.

1	5. This Consent Agreement is conclusive evidence of the matters described herein
2	and may be considered by the Board in determining appropriate sanctions in the event a
3	subsequent violation occurs.
4	PROFESSIONAL ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT
5	Mar 13, 2024
6	Kimberly J. Crooks Date
7	BOARD ACCEPTS, SIGNS AND DATES THIS CONSENT AGREEMENT
8	
9	By: Moli Parola Apr 14, 2024
10	TOBI ZAVALA, Executive Director Date Arizona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners
11	
12	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed Apr 14, 2024 with:
13 14	Arizona Board of Behavioral Health Examiners 1740 West Adams Street, Suite 3600 Phoenix, AZ 85007
15	Apr 14, 2024 EXECUTED COPY of the foregoing sent electronically
16	to:
17	Mona Baskin Assistant Attorney General
18	2005 North Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004
19	Kimberly J. Crooks
20	Address of Record Respondent
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	